

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 11 2007

REMARKS

Applicant has merely amended claims 1, 11-14, 20 and 26-28 and canceled claim 25 without prejudice. Applicant respectfully submits that these amendments to the claims are supported by the application as originally filed and do not contain any new matter. In addition, Applicant respectfully submits that these amended claims would not be properly rejected based upon the art of record in the parent application for the reasons as set forth below.

Firstly, Applicant has carefully reviewed Rhoades et al. and respectfully submits that Rhoades et al. does not disclose the materials for the elements 111, 112, 121 and 122 and particularly does not disclose if one is hard or one is soft. Still further, Applicant respectfully submits that Rhoades et al. does not disclose the connecting/disconnecting mechanism with a one touch type selected from the group consisting of a buckle-type and a hook-type as claimed by Applicant's claim 24 or that the length of the pair of belts is adjusted by adjusting the lengths of each of the pair of belts and instead discloses that the adjustment is performed by the turn buckles.

Applicant has further carefully reviewed Tsubooka et al. and respectfully submits that Tsubooka et al. discloses a single belt and not a pair of belts and does not show or even suggest to try two belts connected together by a connecting/disconnecting mechanism. Applicant has further reviewed Huang and respectfully submits that while Huang may teach two belts, the two belts are used to connect the belts to the eye cup portion and there is no mechanism such as the fastening mechanism of the present invention. Still further, while the Examiner may believe that the mechanisms 5 and 22 and 5 and 23 are "quick disconnect" mechanism, Applicant respectfully submits that they are not and they are in fact strap adjustment mechanisms, one touch mechanisms consisting of a buckle-type or a hook-type.

Applicant has carefully reviewed Lutz and respectfully submits that while Lutz may teach a wider portion in the back, Lutz does not suggest, show or teach anything about a pair of belts.

Finally, Applicant has carefully reviewed Onodera et al. and respectfully submits that Onodera et al. teaches a pair of eyeglasses and not goggles in the sense of Applicant's invention, Tsubooka et al., Huang or Rhoades et al.

Applicant further respectfully and retroactively requests a two-month extension of time to respond to the Final Office Action. Please charge Deposit Account No. 11-1445 in the sum of \$225.00 as the fee.


Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be entered, favorably

considered and the case passed to issue.


Please charge any additional costs incurred by or in order to implement this Amendment or required by any requests for extensions of time to KODA & ANDROLIA DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 11-1445.

Respectfully submitted,

KODA & ANDROLIA

By: 
William L. Androlia
Reg. No. 27,177

2029 Century Park East
Suite 1140
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2983
Tel: (310) 277-1391
Fax: (310) 277-4118

Certificate of Transmission	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office Fax No. (571) 273-8300 on June 11, 2007.	
William L. Androlia	
Name	
	6/11/2007
Signature	Date